1986 Court Commitments to the Massachusetts Department of Correction

Prepared by:

Linda K. Holt Manager of Operations Research

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Michael V. Fair Commissioner

August, 1987

PUBLICATION#: 15,005-64-250-9-8-87 Approved by State Purchasing Agent

Abstract

This report is a statistical description of the 2,533 individuals committed by the courts to a term of incarceration in the Massachusetts Department of Correction during the year 1986. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of these individuals. Some highlights of the statistics presented in this report are:

- There was a 5 percent increase in the number of commitments during the year, from 2,409 in 1985 to 2,533 in 1986. The 2,533 commitments in 1986 represent the highest level of commitments in DOC history.
- There were 1,033 commitments to Cedar Junction (4 percent higher than 1985), 662 commitments to Concord (7 percent higher than 1985) and 838 commitments to Framingham (5 percent higher than 1985).
- Males are committed to Cedar Junction or Concord while females are committed to Framingham. Overall, there was a 5 percent increase in male commitments and a 5 percent increase in female commitments from 1985 to 1986.
- The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years; the median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years; and the median maximum sentence for Framingham commitments was less than 1 year. Sentence lengths for new court commitments were similar in 1985 and 1986.
- Violent offenses (person and sex) accounted for 58 percent of all male commitments and 9 percent of all female commitments. Non-violent offenses (property, drug and "other") represented 91 percent of all female commitments and 42 percent of all male commitments. From 1985 to 1986 there were increases in commitments for drug offenses (up 42 percent), "other" offenses (up 16 percent), and sex offenses (up 5 percent). There were decreases in commitments for property offenses (down 9 percent), and person offenses (down 5 percent).
- The median age at commitment was 27 years, slightly higher than in 1985 (26 years).
- Sixty-one percent of the commitment population were white; 62 percent were single; 9 percent had been in the military; 44 percent came from the Boston SMSA; most had limited work experience concentrated in the areas of manual labor and services; the median educational level was twelfth grade; and, 32 percent had a self-reported history of drug use.
- Fifty-six percent were serving their first adult incarceration. The median age at first court appearance was eighteen.

Table of Contents

Title	Page Number
Abstract	i
Table of Contents	
	ii
Introduction	1
Toods in Court Commission and 1976 at 1996	
Trends in Court Commitments, 1976 to 1986	2
Figure 1. Number of Commitments to DOC, 1976 to 1986	3
Figure 2. Number of Commitments to DOC by Committing	
Institution, 1976 to 1986	3
Table 1. Selected Characteristics of Offenders Committed	
to the DOC, 1982 to 1986	6
A Description of 1986 Commitments	9
Nature of Present Offense	16
Month of Commitment	17
Court From Which Committed	18
County of Court From Which Committed	19
Jail Credits	20
Minimum Sentence	21
Maximum Sentence	22
Sentence Type	23
Present Offense: General Categories	24
Present Offenses Person Offenses	25
Present Offense: Sex Offenses	26
Present Offense: Property Offenses	27
Present Offense: Drug Offenses	28
Present Offense: Other Offenses	29

Table of Contents

Title to the second of the sec	Page Number
Nature of Present Offense (Cont.)	
Time Until Original Parole Eligibility Date	30
Age at Incarceration	31
Personal Background Characteristics	32
Sex	33
Citizenship Indicator	33
Race	34
Ethnic Indicator	34
Marital Status	~35
Military Discharge	35
Prior Address: Selected Cities and Towns	36
Prior Address: County	37
Prior Address: SMSA	38
Occupation	39
Last Grade Completed	40
Known Drug Use	41
Criminal History	42
Total Number of Court Appearances	43
Number of Charges for Person Offenses	44
Number of Charges for Property Offenses	45
Number of Charges for Sex Offenses	46
Number of Charges for Drug Offenses	47
Number of Charges for Alcohol Offenses	48
Number of Charges for Escape Offenses	49

Table of Contents

<u>l'itle</u>	Page Number
Criminal History	
Juvenile Commitment Indicator	50
Number of House of Correction Incarcerations	50
Number of Prior State or Federal Incarcerations	51
Number of Prior Adult Incarcerations	51
Number of Juvenile Paroles	52
Number of Juvenile Parole Violations	52
Number of Adult Paroles	53
Number of Adult Parole Violations	53
Total Number of Paroles	.54
Total Number of Parole Violations	54
Age at First Court Appearance	55
Age at First Court Appearance for Alcohol Offense	56
Age at First Court Appearance for Drug Offense	57
Gloscary	59

Introduction

This report is a statistical description of individuals committed by the courts to the Massachusetts Department of Correction during 1986. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of admissions from the courts. Trends in court commitments over the last five to ten years are discussed as well. The information on which this report is based was submitted to the Research Division from the three committing institutions and the Board of Probation.

This report includes all new court commitments as well as those who began serving a new sentence during the year (forthwith and from and after sentences). If an individual was committed to the Department more than once during 1986 that individual is counted as multiple court commitments. Some categories of offenders are not included in this report, for example, those who began serving "B" and "C" sentences during 1986 (sentences received for crimes committed on parole).

The following information is helpful in reading the tables in this report. Each table is divided according to committing institution. Since each committing institution receives a very different type of offender, it is important to consider each column in the table as well as the total column. The top number in each cell represents the number of individuals who fall into the corresponding category in the table. The bottom number in each cell appears in parentheses and represents the percentage of the number of individuals in a particular category to the total number of commitments from that institution (column percents).

I would like to acknowledge the assistance of Elsa Elliott and Barbara Hartwell in the preparation of this report.

Cases where information is unknown are also included in the tables and are included in the percentages. It is important to note the size of the "unknown" category. It is particularly large in some of the personal background characteristics variables (e.g. occupation and drug use).


Trends in Court Commitments, 1976 to 1986

There were 2,533 commitments during 1986, a 5 percent increase from the previous year. Figure 1 (page 3) shows the number of commitments for the period 1976 to 1986. The number of commitments during 1986 was higher than at any time in the history of the DOC. The increase in commitments from 1985 to 1986 was smaller than that of the previous two years, but represents the eighth straight year in which commitments increased.

Individuals are committed to one of three institutions: Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham. Figure 2 (page 3) shows the number of commitments to each committing institution for the period 1976 to 1986. The number of commitments during 1986 was higher than any of the preceeding eleven years for Cedar Junction and Framingham. Concord commitments peaked in 1982 at 726 commitments.

From 1985 to 1986 increases in commitment levels were similar at all three committing institutions. Cedar Junction commitments increased from 993 in 1985 to 1033 in 1986, an increase of 40 commitments or 4 percent. Concord commitments increased from 617 to 1985 to 662 in 1986, an increase of 45 commitments or 7 percent. Framingham increased from 799 commitments in 1985 to 838 in 1986, an increase of 39 commitments or 5 percent. Overall, male


FIGURE 1
NUMBER OF COMMITMENTS TO DOC,



PIGURE 2

NUMBER OF CONSITNENTS TO DOC,
BY CONSITTING INSTITUTION

1976 TO 1986



commitments increased by 5 percent and female commitments increased by 5 percent.

Table 1 shows selected characteristics of offenders committed to the DOC over the last five years, 1982 to 1986. These characteristics are shown separately for each committing institution and for total commitments.

In terms of primary offense, the commitment population has changed over the past five years. Commitments for drug offenses increased by 131 percent (N=290), commitments for "other" offenses increased by 59 percent (N=150), commitments for sex offenses increased by 43 percent (N=80), commitments for property offenses increased by 13 percent (N=64), and commitments for person offenses decreased by 6 percent (N=54).

Commitment for drug offenses showed the greatest increase over the period.

Drug commitments increased at all three committing institutions. Drug commitments were up by 231 percent (N=162) at Cedar Junction, by 47 percent (N=45) at Concord, and by 151 percent (N=83) at Framingham.

Commitments for offenses in the "other" offense category showed the second largest rate of growth over the past five years. This growth was concentrated among the Framingham group where many women were committed for prostitution, O.U.I., disturbing the peace, or other offenses in this category. Commitments to Framingham in this category increased by 70 percent (N=147) over the period.

Commitments for sex offenses increased by 43 percent (N=80) over the period, from 185 in 1982 to 265 in 1986. All institutions experienced increases in commitments for sex offenses: Cedar Junction increased by 58 percent (N=57), Concord increased by 21 percent (N=17), and Framingham increased by 150 percent (N=6).

Commitments for property offenses increased at Cedar Junction by 22 percent (N=28) and at Framingham by 27 percent (N=57). Commitments for

property offenses decreased at Concord by 13 percent (N=21).

The number of offenders committed for offenses against the person declined from 843 in 1982 to 789 in 1986. This represents a decline of 6 percent (N=54), and was the only offense category where commitments declined over the period. Commitments for person offenses increased at Cedar Junction by 15 percent (N=60), and decreased at Concord by 30 percent (N=110) and at Framingham by 6 percent (N=4) during the past five years.

Patterns in sentence length over the past five years is considered separately for each committing institution. With the exception of lifers, there have been increases in all categories of sentence length at Cedar Junction during that period. There were decreases in all sentence length categories at Concord with the exception of the 10 to 15 year category. In 1982, 36% of all Concord commitments received sentences of 10 to 15 years. By 1986, 43% of all Concord commitments received sentences of that length. Sentencing patterns at Framingham indicate a large growth in the number of county sentenced women being committed to Framingham and almost no changes in the number of women committed with state prison or reformatory sentences.

Commitments to the Department were older on average in 1986 than commitments in 1982. In 1982, 73% of the commitments were under 30 and 27% were 30 years or older. In contrast, by 1986, 62% were under 30 and 38% were 30 years or older. This shift in age distribution occurred at all three committing institutions.

Selected Characteristics of Offenders

Committed to the DOC,

1982 to 1986

Table i.

Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic	: 1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
Present Offense	· <u>•</u> · · · · ·				
Cedar Junction					
Person	405	428	431	482	465
Sex	99	107	140	158	156
Property	127	127	131	178	155
Drug	70	58	108	153	232
Other	27	35	17	22 .	25
Sub-Total	728	755	827	993	1033
Concord					
Person	368	352	329	262	258
Sex	82	48	18	93	99
Property	164	177	136	140	143
Drug	96	42	71	110	141
Other	16	27	26	12	21
Sub-Total	726	646	643	617	662
Framingh am					
Person	- 70	75	82	86	66
Sex	. 4	6	6	1	10
Property	210	229	270	303	267
Drug	55	49	82	96	138
Other	210	275	292	313	357
Sub-Total.	549	634	732	79 9	838
All Institutions			• •		
Person	843	855	842	830	789
Sex	185	161	227	252	265
Property	501	533	537	621	565
Drug	221	149	261	359	511
Other	253	337	335	347	403
Total	2003	2035	2202	2409	2533

Table 1. (Cont.)

Committing Institution and Offender Characteris	stic 1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
Sentence Length					
Cedar Junction - Minimu	m Sentence				
Less than 5 years	251	219	2 9 6	390	412
5 to 9 years	316	329	321	384	400
10 to 15 years	8 <i>5</i>	120	114	110	135
16 years or more	27	30	43	49	45
Life	49	57	53	60	41
Total	728	755	827	993	1033
Concord – Maximum Sent	ence	•			
Less than 5 years	90	83	95	64 .	71
5 to 9 years	256	189	183	179	218
10 to 15 years	260	260	253	287	285
16 years or more	120	114	112	87	88
Total	726	646	643	617	662
Framingham - Type of Se					1 · ·
County	450	530	655	730	741
Reformatory	· 78	80	<i>5</i> 2	46	57
State Prison	21	24	25	- 23	40
Total	549	634	732	799	838
Age at Incarceration					
Cedar Junction					
19 or younger	44	69	46	61	57
20 to 24	197	212	248	258	259
25 to 29	212	182	224	231	250
30 to 39	201	197	229	316	320
40 and older	74	95	80	127	146
Unknown	0 .	0	0	0]
Sub-Total	728	755	827	993	1033

Table 1. (Cont.)

Committing Institution and Offender Characte	ristic 1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
Age at Incarceration			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Concord			•		
19 or younger	160	151	113	91	116
20 to 24	294	253	251	213	220
25 to 29	164	142	147	127	142
30 to 39	88	85	101	139	134
40 and older	20	14	30	46	50
Unknown	0	1.	ĭ	Ĭ	ō
Sub-Total	726	646	643	617	662
ramingham		 -		:	
19 or younger	66	67	50	78	47
20 to 24	189	214	226	237	234
25 to 29	140	168	214	205	242
30 to 39	100	139	166	222	244
40 and older	52	46	76	57	71
Unknown	2	0	0	0	Ō
Sub-Total	549	634	732	79 9	838
All Institutions					
19 or younger	270	287	20 9	230	220
20 to 24	680	679	725	708	713
25 to 29	516	492	585	563	634
30 to 39	389	421	496	677	698
40 and older	146	155	186	230	267
Unknown	2	: 1	1	1	1
Total	2003	2035	2202	2409	2533

A Description of 1986 Court Commitments

This section presents a general description of the population of individuals committed to the DOC during 1986. This discussion includes a description of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of the offender. Where appropriate, the three committing institutions are compared.

Nature of Present Offense

In discussing the present offense of the commitment group, the governing offense, the offense for which the person received the longest sentence, is considered.

Court of Commitment. Sixty-nine percent of the commitments to the Department of Correction were from Superior Courts. Four courts, Suffolk Superior, Middlesex Superior, Worcester Superior and Hampden Superior, accounted for 50 percent of the commitments to the Department. All Cedar Junction commitments came from Superior Courts and 91 percent of all Concord commitments came from Superior Courts. In contrast, 14 percent of the commitments to Framingham were from Superior Courts. Most commitments to Framingham were from Superior Courts (municipal courts are lower courts of Suffolk County and district courts are lower courts of all other counties with the exception of Brookline Municipal Court in Norfolk County).

Jail Credits. Jail credits measure the number of days that the individual spent incarcerated while awaiting trial. A large number of jail credits is usually indicative of a serious crime or a low income offender. Seventy-five percent of Cedar Junction commitments had one or more days of jail credits. This contrasts with 60 percent of Concord commitments and 24 percent of Framingham

commitments. The median number of days of jail credits for all commitments was three.

Minimum Sentence. Only those individuals who received a state prison sentence (all Cedar Junction commitments and some Framingham commitments) received a minimum sentence. Generally, minimum prison sentences must be for two and one-half years or more but in some cases can be shorter (some drug offenses carry short prison sentences, for example). The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years. There were 40 commitments to Framingham who received prison sentences. The median minimum sentence for those women was four years.

Forty-four individuals received life sentences during 1986, including three women. The 44 life sentences included 27 for first-degree murder, 14 for second-degree murder, 1 for armed robbery, 1 for aggravated rape, and 1 for rape of a child.

Maximum Sentence. All individuals committed to the Department have a maximum sentence. For Concord commitments this is their only sentence. Generally, maximum sentences to Concord are two and one-half years or longer but shorter sentences can be given for some offenses such as larceny, escape and drug offenses. The median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years. A large proportion of Framingham commitments received county sentences, those with a maximum sentence length of less than two and one-half years. Males receiving county sentences are committed to houses of correction. In 1986 there were 32 females committed to houses of correction. All other females receiving county sentences were committed to Framingham. Of the 838 commitments to Framingham, 40 (5 percent) received prison (Cedar Junction) sentences, 57 (7 percent) received reformatory (Concord) sentences and 741 (88 percent) received county sentences, including 89 committed for non-payment of a fine.

Type of Sentence. Most individuals committed to the Department received simple sentences (36 percent) or concurrent sentences (31 percent). Less frequently received are aggregate, forthwith or from-and-after sentences. Forthwith sentences are commonly found among Cedar Junction commitments (17 percent); split sentences are commonly found among Concord (23 percent) and Framingham (25 percent) commitments; and 11 percent of the Framingham commitments were in lieu of payment of a fine.

Offense. Type of offense varies by committing institution. Sixty percent of the commitments to Cedar Junction and 54 percent of the commitments to Concord were for violent offenses. In contrast, 9 percent of the commitments to Framingham were for violent offenses. Most women were committed for property offenses (32 percent) or offenses in the "other" category (43 percent).

Offenses against the person accounted for 31 percent of all commitments to the Department and ranged from 45 percent to Cedar Junction, 39 percent to Concord, and 8 percent to Framingham. The most common offenses against the person were armed robbery (N=275), unarmed robbery (N=128), and armed assault (N=161). There were 111 commitments for homicides in 1986 including 27 for first degree murder, 14 for second degree murder and 70 for manslaughter.

Sex offenses accounted for 10 percent of all commitments to the DOC including 15 percent to Cedar Junction and Concord, and one percent to Framingham. There were 205 commitments for rape during 1986 and 52 commitments for sexual assaults. Additionally, there were 8 commitments for other sex offenses during the year.

Property offenses accounted for 22 percent of all commitments to the Department, and ranged from 15 percent to Cedar Junction, 22 percent to Concord, and 32 percent to Framingham. Burglary was the most common property offense for Cedar Junction and Concord commitments; larceny was the most

common property offense for Framingham commitments.

Drug offenses accounted for 20 percent of all commitments during 1986 ranging from 22 percent to Cedar Junction, 21 percent to Concord, and 16 percent to Framingham. The most common drug offenses were violation of the Controlled Substance Act with a Class B substance (N=172) or Class A substance (N=159). The Class B substance category includes amphetamines, cocaine, barbiturates, and other substances. The Class A substance category includes heroin.

Offenses in the "other" category accounted for 2 percent of Cedar Junction commitments, 3 percent of Concord commitments and 43 percent of Framingham commitments or 16 percent of all commitments to the DOC. The most common offenses in this category were prostitution (N=120), operating under the influence (N=106), disturbing the peace (N=77), and weapons offenses (N=31).

Time Until Parole Eligibility. An indication of how much time offenders will actually serve is the time until their parole eligibility date. Over half of all offenders will be released at their original parole eligibility date. For Cedar Junction commitments the median time to serve was from 2 to 3 years; for Concord commitments the median time to serve was from 10 to 12 months; and for Framingham commitments the median time to serve was 3 months or less.

Individuals can have no parole eligibility for a number of reasons. Some mandatory sentences have no possible release to parole and some sentences are too short for parole eligibility to be established, i.e., less than sixty days. In a small number of cases individuals can be beyond their original parole eligibility at the time of commitment to the DOC if they were in jail sufficient time awaiting sentencing.

Age At Incarceration. The median age at incarceration was 27 years. The age of new commitments ranged from 16 years to 77 years. The median age of

Cedar Junction commitments was 29 years; the median age of Concord commitments was 24 years; and the median age of Framingham commitments was 27 years.

Personal Background Characteristics

In discussing the personal background characteristics of the commitment population, all information is reported according to the status of the offender at the time of commitment. Most of this information is self-reported by the offender and is not verified in any way.

Sex. All Cedar Junction and Concord commitments are males, all Framingham commitments are females. During 1986, 33 percent of the commitments were females and 67 percent were males.

Race and Ethnicity. Sixty-one percent of the 1986 commitment group were white (including white hispanics), 28 percent were black (including black hispanics), and 11 percent were identified as hispanic (with no other race specified). There were also 4 Native Americans and 8 Asians committed to the DOC. Sixteen percent of the commitment group were hispanics. This includes white hispanics, black hispanics and hispanics whose race was otherwise not identified.

<u>Citizenship.</u> Ninety-seven percent of the commitments were U.S. citizens and 3 percent were citizens of other countries. Sixty-three percent of the commitments were born in Massachusetts, 21 percent were born in other states, 11 percent were born in U.S. territories, and 2 percent were U.S. citizens born in other countries.

Marital Status. Most of the commitment group were single (62 percent). Nineteen percent were married at the time of their commitment, 11 percent divorced, 6 percent separated, 1 percent widowed, and 1 percent common-law.

Military History. Nine percent of the commitment group had a history of military service and 91 percent had no military service. Seventy-six percent of the known veterans were honorably discharged from military service.

<u>Prior Address.</u> Almost all commitments (95 percent) were living in Massachusetts prior to incarceration. Three communities accounted for more than 100 commitments each: Boston (N=624), Worcester (N=264), and Springfield (N=163).

Occupation. Most of the individuals committed to the Department had previous work experience. This work experience was concentrated in the areas of manual labor (50 percent) and services (28 percent).

Education. The median educational level for this population was twelfth grade. Fifty percent of the commitment group had completed at least high school; including 12 percent with some college education.

<u>Drug Use.</u> Thirty-two percent of the commitments reported a history of prior drug use; including 8 percent reporting a history of heroin use. Drug use history was generally based on offender self-reports.

Criminal History

Information about the criminal history of individuals committed to the DOC is taken from reports prepared by the Board of Probation and records submitted by District Courts. These reports identify the number of court appearances and the offenses that the individual was charged with as well as disposition information. In this section the individual's history of court appearances, charges, incarcerations and paroles will be discussed.

<u>Prior Court Appearances.</u> The median number of prior court appearances was eight. Sixty-six percent had court appearances for person offenses, 74 percent for

property offenses, 17 percent for sex offenses, 53 percent for narcotics offenses, 23 percent for alcohol offenses, and 8 percent for escape offenses.

Prior Incarcerations. Twelve percent had a history of one or more commitments to a juvenile authority; 35 percent had a history of incarceration in a county facility; and 19 percent had a history of incarceration in a state or federal facility. Fifty-six percent were serving their first incarceration as an adult and forty-four percent had been previously incarcerated as an adult.

Parole History. The number of paroles and parole violations that an individual experienced prior to this commitment are shown on pages 52 to 54. About 10 percent of the commitment group experienced paroles in the past. Sixty-four percent of those with a history of paroles also had one or more parole violations.

Age at Entry Into Criminal Justice Systems. The age of the individual at first court appearance, first court appearance for a drug related offense, and first court appearance for an alcohol related offense are shown on pages 55 to 57. The median age at the time of first court appearance was 18 years of age.

NATURE OF PRESENT OFFENSE

MONTH OF COMMITMENT	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
January	67	58	79	204
	(6)	(9)	(9)	(8)
February	102	54	61	217
	(10)	(8)	(7)	(9)
March	89	50	63	202
	(9)	(8)	(8)	(8)
April	94	73	78	245
	(9)	(11)	(9)	(10)
May	97	65 (10)	86 (10)	248 (10)
June	96 (9)	52 (8)	66 (8)	(8)
July	85	51	75	211
	(8)	(8)	(9)	(8)
August	60	32	57	149
	(6)	(5)	(7)	(6)
September	83	48	69	200
	(8)	(7)	(8)	(8)
October	119 (12)	80 (12)	88 *	287 (11)
November	63	32	58	153
	(6)	(5)	(7)	(6)
December	78 (8)	67 (10)	58 (7)	203
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Barnstable Superior	19	(1)	(0)	30 (1)
Berkshire Superior	13 (1)	2 (0)	(0)	16 (1)
Bristol Superior	65 (6)	46	15 (2)	126 (5)
Essex Superior	73 (7)	50 (8)	14	137 (5)
Franklin Superior	10 (1)	2 (0)	0 (0)	12 (0)
Hampden Superior	124 (12)	26 (4)	18 (2)	168 (7)
Hampshire Superior	15 (1)	4 (1)	(0)	19 (1)
Middlesex Superior	182 (18)	101 (15)	19 (2)	302 (_12)
Norfolk Superior	5 5 (5)	20 (3)	(0)	76 (3)
Plymouth Superior	50 (5)	19 (3)	(0)	70 (3)
Suffolk Superior	314 (30)	160 (24)	26 (3)	500 ⁵ (20)
Worcester Superior	113	164 (25)	17 (2)	29 4 (12)
Municipal Courts	(0)	9 (1)	136 (16)	145
District Courts	(0)	51 (8)	587 (70)	638 (25)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

COUNTY OF COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Barnstable County	19 (2)	8 (1)	7 (1)	34 (1)
Berkshire County	13 (1)	2 (0)	6 (1)	21 (1)
Bristol County	65 (6)	49 (7)	79 (9)	193
Dukes County	0	1 (0)	2 (0)	3 (0)
Essex County	73	63	79	215
	(7)	(10)	(9)	(8)
Franklin County	10 (1)	2 (0)	(0)	13 (1)
Hampden County	124	26	9 6	246
	(12)	(4)	(11)	(10)
Hampshire County	15	4	2	21
	(1)	(1)	(0)	(* 1)
Middlesex County	182	120	160	462
	(18)	(18)	(19)	(18)
Norfolk County	55	2 2	30	107
	(5)	(3)	(4)	(4)
Plymouth County	50	21	23	94
	(5)	(3)	(3)	(4)
Suffolk County	314	169	170	653
	(30)	(26)	(20)	(26)
Worcester County	113	175	183	471
	(11)	(26)	(22)	(19)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

JAIL CREDITS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	263 (25)	266 (40)	633 (76)	1162 (46)
1 to 10	75 (7)	74 (11)	64 (8)	213 (8)
11 to 50	109 (11)	98 (15)	97 (12)	304 (12)
51 to 100	111 (11)	79 (12)	20 (2)	210 (8)
101 to 150	124 (12)	61 (9)	(1)	193 (8)
151 to 200	114	41 (6)	6 (1)	161 (6)
Over 200	237 (23)	43 (6)	10 (1)	29 0 (11)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

MINIMUM SENTENCE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
1 Year	2 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)
2 Years	18	0 (0)	1 (0)	19 (1)
3 Years	236 (23)	(0)	14 (2)	250 (10)
4 Years	156 (15)	(0)	8 (1)	164 (6)
5 Years	13 8 (13)	(0)	(0)	141 (6)
6 Years	9 8 (9)	(0)	(0)	102
7 Years	52 (5)	(0)	(0)	53 (2)
8 Years	45 (4)	(0)	(0)	46 (2) 69
9 Years	67 (6) 61	(0)	(0) 0	(3) 61
10 Years	(6) 33	(0) 0	(0)	(2) 35
11 to 12 Years	(3) 41	(0)	(0) 1	(1) ₁
13 to 15 Years	(4) 27	(0)	(0) 0 (0)	27
16 to 19 Years 20 to 24 Years	(3) 5 (0)	(0) 0 (0)	(0) (0)	(1) 5 (0)
25 Years or More	13 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	13 (1)
Life	41 (4)	(0)	3 (0)	44 (2)
Indeterminate	0 (0)	662 (100)	798 (95)	1460 (58)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

MAXIMUM SENTENCE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Fine	0	0	89	89
Less Than 1 Year	(0) 0	(0)	(11) 452	(4) 454
	(0) 0	(0) 2	(54) 143	(18) 145
1 Year	(0)	(0)	(17)	(6)
2 Years	(0)	63 (10)	67 (8)	130 (5)
3 Years	9 (1)	(0)	(0)	10
4 Years	5 (0)	3 (0)	(0)	8 (0)
5 Years	231 (22)	192 (29)	38 (4)	459 (18)
6 Years	67 (6)	13 (2)	9 (1)	89 (4)
7 Years	117 (11)	8 (1)	6 (1)	131 (5)
8 Years	27 (3)	4 (1)	(0)	32 (1)
9 Years	23 (2)	(0)	2 (0)	26 (1)
10 Years	254 (25)	234 (35)	1 9 (2)	507 (20)
11 to 12 Years	59 (6)	21 (3)	(0)	81 (3)
13 to 15 Years	84 (8)	30 (5)	(0)	118 (5)
16 to 19 Years	6 1)	8 (1)	(0)	14 (1)
20 to 24 Years	8 0 (8)	77 (12)	6 (1)	163 (6)
25 Years or More	30 (3)	(0)	(0)	33 (1)
Life	41 (4)	(0)	(0)	44 (2)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

· ·		CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
One Sentence Only	405 (39)	235 (35)	269 (32)	909 (36)
Concurrent Sentence Only	335 (32)	212 (32)	246 (29)	793 (31)
Aggregate Sentence	25 (2)	23 (3)	17	65 (3)
Forthwith Sentence	16 6 (16)	(0)	(0)	167 (7)
Forthwith Sentence from a House of Correction	5 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	5 (0)
From and After Sentence	33 (3)	3 8 (6)	9 (1)	80 (3)
Split	64 (6)	154 (23)	207 (25)	425 (17)
Fine	(0)	(0)	89 (11)	89 (4)
TOTAL	1033	662	83 8	2533

PRESENT OFFENSE- GENERAL CATEGORIES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Person	465	258	66	789
	(45)	(39)	(8)	(31)
Sex	15 6	99	10	265
	(15)	(15)	(1)	(10)
Property	155	143	267	565
	(15)	(22)	(32)	(22)
Drug	232	141	138	511
	(22)	(21)	(16)	(20)
Other	25	21	357	403
	(2)	(3)	(43)	(16)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

PRESENT OFFENSE-PERSON	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	·	* .		
Not Applicable	568 (5 5)	40 4 (61)	772 (92)	1744 (69)
Murder 1	25 (2)	0 (0)	(0)	27 (1)
Murder 2	13 (1)	(0)	1 (0)	.14
Manslaughter	59 (6)	6 (1)	5 (1)	70 (3)
Assault-Intent to Murder	32 (3)	12	(0)	45 (2)
Armed Robbery	159 (15)	104 (16)	12 (1)	275 (11)
Unarmed Robbery	57 (6)	65 (10)	6 (1)	128 (5)
Armed Assault	91 (9)	49 (7)	21 (3)	161 (6)
Unarmed Assault	15 (1)	17	16 (2)	48
Extortion	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Kidnapping	10 (1)	3 (0)	0 (0)	13
Conspiracy	(0)	1 (0)	(0)	3 (0)
Other Person	2 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

PRESENT OFFENSE-SEX	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	877 (85)	563 (85)	8 28 (99)	226 8 (90)
Rape	27 (3)	10	0 (0)	3 7 (1)
Aggravated Rape	38 (4)	5 (1)	2 . (0)	45 (2)
Assault w.i. Rape	(1)	9 (1)	(0)	21 (1)
Raps of Minor	61 (6)	60 (9)	2 (0)	123 (5)
Assault w.i. Rape Minor	16 (2)	13 (2)	(0)	31
Incest	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Other Sex Offense	(0)	(0)	(0)	7 7
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

PRESENT OFFENSE-PROPER	<u>TY</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable		878 (85)	519 (78)	571 (68)	1968 (78)
Arson		7 (1)	10	2 (0)	19
Burglary-Armed		(1)	7 (1)	(0)	19 (1)
Burglary		9 6 (9)	89 (13)	19 (2)	204 (8)
Burglary Tools		(0)	(0)	3 (0)	7
Stealing		(0)	(0)	39 (5)	40 (2)
Larceny-Person		(0)	7 (1)	10 (1)	18 (1)
Larceny	g de	(1)	13	10 8 (13)	128 (5)
Vehicle Theft		8	4 (1)	8 (1)	20 (1)
Forgery-Uttering		(1)	2 (0)	31 (4)	47
Common Thief		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Fraud		(0)	(0)	5 (1)	7 (0)
Stolen Goods		5 (0)	8 (1)	32 (4)	45 (2)
Property Injuries		(0)	(0)	9 (1)	10
	TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

PRESENT OFFENSE-DRUGS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	801 (78)	521 (79)	700 (84)	2022 (80)
Possession of Narcotics	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (0)
Presence of Narcotics	(0)	(0)	(0).	(0)
Possession of Syringe	(0)	0 (0)	24 (3)	24 (1)
O.U.I. Drugs	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	(0)
Controlled Substance- No Class Specified	43 (4)	6 0 (9)	20 (2)	123 (5)
Controlled Substance-Class A	78 (8)	33 (5)	48 (6)	159 (6)
Controlled Substance-Class B	9 9 (10)	46 (7)	27 (3)	172, (7)
Controlled Substance-Class C	2 (0)	(0)	(0)	4 (0)
Controlled Substance-Class D	10 (1)	(0)	14 (2)	24 (1)
Controlled Substance-Class E	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

PRESENT OFFENSE-OTHER	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	1008 (98)	641 (97)	481 (57)	213 0 (84)
Escapes	8 (1)	5 (1)	2 (0)	15 (1)
Weapons	13 (1)	13 (2)	5 (1)	31
Disturbing the Peace	(0)	(0)	77 (9)	77 (3)
Prostitution	(0)	0 (0)	120 (14)	120 (5)
Leaving the Scene	0 (0)	(0)	2 (0)	2 (0)
Motor Vehicle Offense	0	2 (0)	17	19 (1)
Contempt of Court	(0)	(0)	6 (1)	7 (0)
Operating Under Influence	(0)	1. (0)	105 (13)	106
Usury	(0)	0	(0)	1 (0)
Deriving Support from Prostitute	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)
Trespass	0 (0)	0 (0)	7 (1)	7 (0)
Other	(0)	(0)	16 (2)	17
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

TIME TILL P.E.	DATE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No P.E. Date		15 (1)	(0)	266 (32)	282 (11)
Past P.E. Date		5 (0)	16 (2)	2 (0)	23 (1)
1-3 Months		6 (1)	119 (18)	358 (43)	483 (19)
4-6 Months		14 (1)	120 (18)	99 (12)	23 3 (9)
7-9 Months		31 (3)	58 (9)	25 (3)	114 (5)
10-12 Months		95 (9)	185 (28)	39 (5)	319 (13)
13-18 Months		80	87 (13)	18 (2)	18 5 (7)
19-24 Months		178 (17)	67 (10)	20 (2)	26 5 (10)
2-3 Years		19 6 (19)	7 (1)	(0)	20 5 (8):
3-5 Years		176 (17)	2 (0)	(0)	179 (7)
5-10 Years		15 6 (15)	(0)	5 (1)	161 (6)
10-15 Years		28 (3)	(0)	(0)	28 (1)
Over 15 Years		2 8 (3)	(0)	(0)	29 (1)
Life		25 (2)	(0)	(0)	27 (1)
	TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

AGE AT INCARCERATION	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
16	1° (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)
17	4	16	2	22
	(0)	(2)	(0)	(1)
18	25 (2)	40 (6)	20 (2)	85 (3)
19	27	60	25	112
	(3)	(9)	(3)	(4)
20	44 (_4)	51 (8)	23 (3)	118
21	58	66	39	16 3
	(6)	(10)	(5)	(6)
22	57	43	49	149
	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
23	52	27	60	139
	(5)	(4)	(7)	(5)
24	48	33	63	144
	(5)	(5)	(8)	(6)
25	59 (6)	32 (5)	5 7 (7)	148
26-29	191	110	185	486
	(18)	(17)	(22)	(19)
30-39	320	134	244	698
	(31)	(20)	(29)	(28)
40-49	104	34	56	19 4
	(10)	(5)	(7)	(8)
50-59	30	11	10	51
	(3)	(2)	(1)	(2)
60 or Older	(1)	5 (1)	5 (1)	22 (1)
Unknown	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

PERSONAL BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

SEX		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Male		1033	662 (100)	(0)	169 5 (6 7)
Pemale		(0)	(0)	83 8 (100)	838 (33)
	TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

CITIZENSHIP INDICATOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
U.S. Citizen-Born in Massachusetts	595 (58)	42 8 (65)	580 (69)	16 03 (63)
U.S. Citizen-Born in Other State	227 (22)	121	182 (22)	530 (21)
U.S. Citizen-Born in U.S. Territory	129 (12)	88 (13)	50 (6)	267 (11)
U.S. Citizen-Born in Other Country	22 (2)	14 (2)	15 (2)	51 (2)
Non U.S. Citizen	59 (6)	(2)	9 (1)	79 (3)
Unknown	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

RACE		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
White		578 (56)	393 (59)	563 (67)	1534 (61)
Black		33 7 (33)	165 (25)	202 (24)	704 (28)
Native American		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Asian		5 (0)	(0)	(0)	8 (0)
Hispanic		112	101 (15)	67 (8)	2 80 (11)
Unknown		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
	TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

ETHNIC INDICATOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Hispanic	196 (19)	121 (18)	76 (9)	393 (16)
Non-Hispanic	83 6 (81)	541 (82)	760 (91)	2137 (84)
Unknown	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

MARITAL STATUS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Married	246 (24)	101 (15)	132 (16)	479 (19)
Single	591 (57)	473 (71)	505 (60)	1569 (62)
Divorced	133 (13)	50 (8)	98 (12)	281 (11)
Widowed	(1)	(0)	21 (3)	33
Common Law	(0)	8 (I)	(0)	14 (1)
Separated	49 (5)	29 (4)	76 (9)	154 (6)
Unknown	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
TOTAL	10 33	662	838	2533

Honorable (138 37 4 179 (7) Dishonorable (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) Bad Conduct (2) (1) (0) (1) Medical (1) (0) (0) (0) (0) Discharge Unknown (1) (1) (0) (1)	MILITARY DISCHARGE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Honorable (13) (6) (0) (7) Dishonorable (0) (0) (0) (0) Bad Conduct (2) (1) (0) (1) Medical (1) (0) (0) (0) Discharge Unknown (1) (1) (0) (1)	No Service				2236 (88)
Dishonorable (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) Bad Conduct (2) (1) (0) (1) Medical (1) (0) (0) (0) Discharge Unknown (1) (1) (0) (1) 30 28 5 63	Honorable			(0)	179 (7)
Bad Conduct (2) (1) (0) (1) 6 0 0 6 Medical (1) (0) (0) (0) Discharge Unknown (1) (1) (0) (1) 30 28 5 63	Dishonorable	(0)			(0)
Medical (1) (0) (0) (0) 13 7 3 23 Discharge Unknown (1) (1) (0) (1) 30 28 5 63	Bad Conduct		5 (1)		23 (1)
Discharge Unknown (1) (1) (0) (1) 30 28 5 63	Medical				6 (0)
30 28 5 63 Jnknown (3) (4) (1) (2)	Discharge Unknown	13 (1)	7 (1)		23
	Inknown	30 (3)			6 3 (2)
TOTAL 1033 662 838 2533					·.

				.*	
PRIOR ADDRESS-SKLECTED		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Boston		279 (27)	179 (27)	166	624
200001			(21)	(20)	(25)
Brockton		21	. 15	17	53
brockton		(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
6	•	20	13	11	44
Cambridge		(2)	(2)	(1)	(2)
		25	11	29	65
Fall River		(2)	(2)	(3)	(- 3)
		13	12	9	34
Framingham	* .	(1)	(2)	$(\overline{1})$	(1)
		15	1	12	28
Holyoke	2.00	(1)	(Õ) .	(1)	(1)
		26	12	16	54
Lawrence		(3)	(2)	(2)	(2)
		40	14	39	93
Lowell	* * .	(4)	(2)	(5)	(, 4)
		•		•	-
Lynn		21 (2)	21 (3)	20 (2)	62 (2)
New Bedford		24 (2)	15 (2)	33 (4)	72 (3)
				(4)	
Quincy		14 (1)	6 (1)	. 6 (1)	26
quincy		(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
A		18	6	12	36
Somerville		(2)	(1)	(1)	(1)
		84	14	65	163
Springfield		(8)	(2)	(8)	(6)
	- '	67	86	111	264
Worcester		(6)	(13)	(13)	(10)
		298	229	264	791
Other Mass.		(29)	(35)	(32)	(31)
		67	28	27	122
Out of State		(6)	(4)	(3)	(5)
	*	1	0	1	2
Unknown	* *	(0)	(ŏ)	(0)	(0)
		•			•
то	TAL	1033	662	838	2533
				and the second second second second	

PRIOR ADDRESS-COUNTY	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Worcester	110 (11)	162 (24)	172 (21)	444 (18)
Franklin	, 7 (1)	(0)	3 (0)	11
Middlesex	173 (17)	98 (15)	134 (16)	405 (16)
Suffolk	30 3 (29)	191 (29)	18 2 (22)	676 (27)
Norfolk	35 (3)	16 (2)	23 (3)	74 (3)
Bristol	65 (6)	41 (6)	78 (9)	184
Plymouth	35 (3)	26 (4)	32 (4)	93
Essex	71	65 (10)	77 (9)	21 3 (8)
Hampshire	13 (1)	3 (0)	(0)	20 (1)
Hampden	123 (12)	24 (4)	91 (11)	23 8 (9)
Berkshire	10 (1)	(0)	(0)	15 (1)
Dukes	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Barnstable	20 (2)	5 (1)	7 (1)	32 (1) -
Nantucket	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Out of State	67 (6)	28 (4)	27 (3)	122 (5)
Unknown	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
TOTAL	103 3 -	662	838	2533

PRIOR ADDRESS-SMSA	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Boston	475 (46)	308 (47)	320 (38)	1103
Brockton	28 (3)	16 (2)	22 (3)	66 (3)
Fall River	26 (3)	12	31 (4)	69 (3)
Fitchburg-Leominster	17	32 (5)	28 (3)	77
Lawrence-Haverhill	34 (3)	30 (5)	32 (4)	96 (4)
Lowell	47 (5)	2 2 (3)	46 (5)	115 (5)
New Bedford	28 (′3)	20 (3)	39 (5)	87 (3)
Pittsfield	7 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Providence-Pawtucket-Warwick	5 (0)	(1)	6 (1)	15 (1)
Springfield	135 (13)	26 (4)	94 (11)	255 (10)
Worcester	74	105 (16)	127 (15)	306 (12)
Other Mass.	89 (9)	59 (9)	61 (7)	209 (8)
Out of State	67 (6)	28 (4)	27 (3)	122 (5)
Unknown	(0)	(0)	(0)	2 (0)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

OCCUPATION		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Professional		12 (1)	5 (1)	6 (1)	23
Semi-Professional		29 (3)	8 (1)	13	50
Business		51 (5)	26 (4)	6 (1)	83 (3)
Sales, Clerical		54 (5)	29 (4)	41 (5)	124
Manual		53 6 (52)	28 6 (43)	38 (5)	860 (34)
Services		246 (24)	158 (24)	78 (9)	482 (19)
Agriculture		8 (1)	(1)	(0)	16
Armed Forces		(0)	(, 0)	(0)	(0)
Housekeeper		(0)	(0)	10 (1)	10
Student		10 (1)	(0)	7 (1)	2 0 (1)
Never Employed		(1)	5 (1)	29 (3)	45 (2)
Unknown		74 (7)	134 (20)	608 (73)	816 (32)
	TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

LAST GRADE COMPLETED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
3rd or Less	10 (1)	10	3 (0)	23
4th	7 (1)	(1)	(0)	13
5 th	10 (1)	(1)	(0)	17
6th	13 (1)	13	5 (1)	31 (1)
7th	43 (4)	18	8 (1)	6 9 (3)
8th	69 (7)	43	23 (3)	13 5 (5)
9th	100 (10)	82 (12)	72 (9)	254 (10)
10th	114 (11)	83 (13)	61 (7)	25 8 (10)
11th	9 4 (9)	85 (13)	86 (10)	26 5 (10)
12th	397 (38)	20 0 (30)	205 (24) ,	8 02 (32)
Some College	121 (12)	27 (4)	59 (7)	207 (8)
College Graduate	34 (3)	10 (2)	17 (2)	61 (2)
Unknown	(21	80 (12)	29 7 (35)	39 8 (_16)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

KNOWN DRUG USE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No Mention of Drugs	668	425	28	1121
	(65)	(64)	(3)	(44)
Non-Specific	61	38	86	185
	(6)	(6)	(10)	(7)
Heroin	9 4	42	2	138
	(9)	(6)	(0)	(5)
Other Specific	9 4	61	0	15 5
	(9)	(9)	(0)	(6)
Marijuana only	40 (4)	21 (3)	(0)	61 (2)
Unknown	76	75	722	873
	(7)	(11)	(86)	(34)
	and the state of t			•
TC	TAL 1033	662	838	2533

CRIMINAL HISTORY

TOTAL NUMBER OF COURT APPEARANCES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
One	72	56	63	191
	(7)	(8)	(8)	(8)
Two	73 (7)	61	49 (6)	18 3 (7)
Three	56 (5)	47 (7)	64 (8)	167
Four	52	44	57	153
	(5)	(7)	(7)	(6)
Five	54	43	47	144
	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)
6-8	138	89	110	337
	(13)	(13)	(13)	(13)
9-11	108	70	9 8	276
	(10)	(11)	(12)	(11)
12-15	102	64	95	261
	(10)	(10)	(11)	(10)
16-20	105	44	6 6	215
	(10)	(7)	(8)	(8)
Over 20	175	43	156	374
	(17)	(6)	(19)	(_15)
Unknown	98	101	33	2 32
	(9)	(15)	(4)	(9)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

NUMBER PERSON	OF CHARGES FOR		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None			17 2 (17)	156 (24)	443 (53)	771
One			119 (12)	96 (15)	117 (14)	332 (13)
Two			118	66 (10)	77 (9)	261 (10)
Three			8 9 (9)	55 (8)	34 (4)	178 (7).
Four		•	78 (8)	57 (9)	3 3 (4)	168
Pive			59 (6)	37 (6)	15 (2)	(111
6-8			131 (13)	6 0 (9)	46 (5)	237 (9)
Over 8			169 (16)	34 ⁻ (5)	40 (5)	243 (~10)
Unknown			98 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	232 (9)
		TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PROPERTY OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHA	TOTAL
None	210	165	223	598
	(20)	(25)	(27)	(24)
One	97	64	8 8	249
	(9)	(10)	(11)	(10)
Two	74	52	75	201
	(7)	(8)	(9)	(8)
Three	43	26	48	117
	(4)	(4)	(6)	(5)
Four	57 (6)	34 (5)	37	128 (5)
Five	3 3 (3)	24 (4)	31 (4)	8 8 (3)
6-8	99	59	81	239
	(10)	(9)	(10)	(9)
Over 8	32 2	137	22 2	[*] 681
	(31)	(21)	(26)	(27)
Unknown	98 (9)	101 (15)	33	23 2 (9)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	709 (69)	433 (65)	769 (92)	1911 (75)
One	8 2 (8)	37 (6)	22 (3)	141
Two	40 (4)	32 (5)	6 (1)	78 (3)
Three	32 (3)	28 (4)	(0)	63 (2)
Four	21 (2)	11 (2)	(0)	34 (1)
Pive	10	(0)	(0)	14 (1)
6-8	20 (2)	8 (1)	(0)	29
Over 8	(² 1 (²)	9 (1)	(0)	(1)
Unknown	9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	23 2 (9)
TOTAL	1033	662	83 8	2533

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR		annin 100	CONCORD			
DRUG OFF	ENSES		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None			406 (39)	289 (44)	379 (45)	1074 (42)
One			142 (14)	74 (11)	9 6 (11)	312 (12)
Two			103	63 (10)	79 (9)	245 (10)
Three			67 (6)	43 (6)	49 (6)	159 (6)
Four			(4)	28 (4)	48 (6)	120 (5)
Five			35 (3)	14 (2)	36 (4)	85 (3)
6-8			65 (6)	24 (4)	62 (7)	151 (6)
Over 8			73 (7)	2 6 (4)	56 (7)	15 5 (6)
Unknown			9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	23 2 (9)
						î.
	1	OTAL	1033	662	83 8	2533

NUMBER OF CHA	RORS. FOR	C	EDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
			699	450	614	1763
None			(68)	(68)	(73)	(70)
One			125 (12)	55 · . (8)	(6)	229 (9)
Two			45 (4)	29 (4)	39 (5)	113
Three			24 (2)	8 (1)	31 (4)	63 (2)
Four			9 (1)	10	27 (3)	46
Five			5 (0)	2 (0)	20	27
6-8		•	13 (1)	5 (1)	14 (2)	32 (1)
Over 8			15 (1)	(0)	11 (1)	2 8 (1)
Unknown			9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	23 2 (9)
w ·		: :				1 [†]
	TOTA	£ .	1033	662	838	2533

NUMBER ESCAPE	OF CHARGES FOR OFFENSES		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None			814 (79)	53 6 (81)	774 (92)	2124 (84)
One .			70 (7)	19 (3)	18 (2)	107 (4)
Two			25 (2)	(1)	(1)	34 (1)
Three			13 (1)	(0)	(0)	18
Four			(0)	(0)	(0)	5 (0)
Five			(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
6-8			8 (1)	(0)	(0)	10 (0)
Unknown			9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	23 Z (9)
		TOTAL	1033	662	83 8	2533

JUVENILE COMMITMENT IN	DICATOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		770 (75)	48 5 (73)	768 (92)	2023 (80)
One or More		165 (16)	76 (11)	37	278 (11)
Unknown		9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	232
	TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

NUMBER OF HOUSE OF CORRECTION INCARCERATIONS	CEDA	AR JCT CONCO	RD FRAMINGEAM	TOTAL
None	48	33 365 17) (55)	653 (78)	1501 (59)
One	17	74 82 17) (12)	80 (10)	33 6 (13)
Two		13 43 10) (6)	35 (4)	181
Three		39 35 6) (5)	18 (2)	(112 (4)
Four	4	12 19 4) (3)	7 (1)	6 8 (3)
Five		23 8 2) (1)	9 (1)	40 (2)
Six or More	(51 9 5) (1)	(0)	63 (2)
Unknown	(98 101 9) (15)	33 (4)	23 2 (9)
TO	PAL 103	13 662	838	2533

NUMBER OF PRIOR STATE OR FEDERAL INCARCERATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	743 (72)	532 (80)	59 8 (71)	1873 (74)
One	103	18 (3)	9 3 (11)	214
Two	46 (4)	6 (1)	54 (6)	106
Three	16 (2)	(0)	24 (3)	40
Four	13 (1)	(0)	(1)	26 (1)
Five	(0)	(0)	10 (1)	16
Six or More	9 (1)	(0)	15 (2)	26. (1)
Unknown	9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	232 (9)
TOTAL	1033	652	838	2533

NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT INCARCERATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	442 (43)	358 (54)	490 (58)	1290 (51)
One	141 (14)	80 (12)	132 (16)	353 (14)
Two	- 116 (11)	49 (7)	76 (9)	241 (10)
Three	73 (7)	29 (4)	38 (5)	140 (6)
Four	55 (5)	19 (3)	27 (3)	101
Five	31 (3)	11 (2)	17 (2)	59 (2)
Six or More	77	15 (2)	25 (3)	117 (5)
Unknown	9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	232 (9)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

NUMBER OF JUVENILE	PAROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
₩		891	551	802	2244
None		(86)	(83)	(96)	(89)
One		24 (2)	5 (1)	(0)	31 (1)
Two	· ·	9 (1)	3 (0)	(0)	12
Three		8 (1)	(0)	(0)	10
4 or More		3 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Unknown		9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	2 32 (9)
	TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

NUMBER OF JUVENILE PAROLE VIOLATIONS		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled		891 (86)	551 (83)	802 (96)	2244 (89)
None		24 (2)	6 (1)	(0)	30 (1)
One		(1)	(0)	(0)	15 (1)
Two		6 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Three		2 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
4 or More		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Unknown		98	101 (15)	33 (4)	232 (9)
	TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

NUMBER OF ADULT PAROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
				
None	82 0 (79)	543 (82)	734 (88)	2097 (83)
One	8 3 (8)	11 (2)	54 (6)	14 8 (- 6)
Two .	18 (2)	6 (1)	14 (2)	3 8 (2)
Three	9 (1)	(0)	1 (0)	10
4 or More	5 (0)	(0)	2 (0)	(0)
Unknown	9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	232
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

NUMBER OF ADULT- PAROLE VIOLATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORB	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled	820 (79)	543 (82)	734 (88)	2097 (83)
None	33 (3)	5 (1)	38 (5)	76 (3)
One	63 (6)	11 (2)	30 (4)	104 (4)
Two	13	(0)	(0)	16 (1)
Three	(0;	(0)	(0)	(0)
4 or More	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) 232
Unknown	9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	(9)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	802 (78)	537 (81)	732 (87)	2071 (82)
One	78 (8)	14	5 6 (7)	148 (6)
Two	24 (2)	5 (1)	13	42 (2)
Three	15 (1)	2 (0)	(0)	18
4 or More	16 (2)	(0)	3 (0)	2 2 (1)
Unknown	98 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	23 2 (9)
TOTAL	1033	662	838	2533

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled	802 (78)	537 (81)	732 (87)	2071 (82)
None	37 (4)	8 (1)	38 (. 5)	83
One	6 9 (7)	13	31 (4)	113 (4)
Two	16 (2)	(0)	(0)	20 (1)
Three	5 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
4 or More	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Unknown	9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	23 2 (9)
TOTAL	1033	662	83 8	2533

AGE AT PIRST COURT	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
12 or Younger	84 (8)	41 (6)	16 (2)	141
13	58	25	36	119
	(6)	(4)	(4)	(5)
14	72	34	32	138
	(7)	(5)	(4)	(5)
15	6 6	41	39	146
	(6)	(6)	(· 5)	(6)
16	76 (7)	41 (6)	55 (7)	172 (7)
17	125	94	97	316
	(12)	(14)	(12)	(12)
18	87	52	62	201
	(8)	(8)	(7)	(8)
19	54	,5 8	43	155
	(5)	(9).	(5)	(6)
20	34 (3)	18 (3)	52 (6)	104
21	41 (4)	20 (3)	51 ⁴ (6)	112 (4)
22	29 (3)	13	33 ··· (4)	75 (3)
23	15	2 0	27	62
	(1)	(3)	(3)	(2)
24	15	14	25	54
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(2)
	1 5	8	32	55
	(1)	(1)	(4)	(- 2)
25	57	3 3	94	184
	(6)	(5)	(11)	(7)
26-29	6 8	32	79	179
	(7)	(5)	(9)	(7)
30-39	39	17	32	8 8
	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)
unknown	98	101	33	232
	(9)	(15)	(4)	(9)
UIIRIIOWII		662	838	2533

AGE AT PIRST COURT APPEARANCE FOR ALCOHOL OFFENS	E CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	701 (68)	. 451 (68)	614 (73)	1766 (70)
14 or Younger	3 (0)	(0)	(0)	5 (0)
15-17	33 (3)	17	17	6 7 (3)
18-19	48 (5)	31 (5)	20 (2)	99 (4)
20-21	37 (4)	21 (3)	26 (3)	84 (3)
22-25	58 (6)	18 (3)	43 (5)	119 (5)
26-29	20 (2)	11 (2)	27 (3)	58 (2)
30-39	25 (2)	9 (1)	41 (5) "	75 (3)
40 and Older	10 (1)	2 (0)	16 (.2)	2 8 (1)
Unknown	9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	232
TOTAL	L 1033	662	838	2533

AGE AT FIRST COU APPEARANCE FOR I	RT ROG OFFENSE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable		414 (40)	293 (44)	380 (45)	1087
14 or Younger		22 (2)	3 (0)	7 (1)	32 (1)
15-17		74 (7)	5 8 (9)	46 (5)	178 (7)
18-19		89 (9)	45 (7)	53 (6)	187 (7)
20-21		66 (6)	40 (6)	63 (8)	169 (7)
22-25		101 (10)	50 (8)	123 (15)	274 (11)
26-29		57 (6)	35 (5)	69 (8)	161 (6)
30-39		77 (7)	31 (5)	51 (6)	159 (6)
40 and Older		35 (3)	6 (1)	13 (2)	54 (2)
Unknown		9 8 (9)	101 (15)	33 (4)	232 (9)
	TOTAL	1033	6 62	838	2533

GLOSSARY

SENTENCE TYPE

Simple

One sentence received for a single offense.

Concurrent

Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served simultaneously.

Aggregate

Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served consecutively.

Forthwith

A sentence that is to be served effective immediately, regardless of other sentences for which the individual is currently incarcerated.

From and After

A sentence to be served following releases from a previous sentence.

Split

A sentence that is divided between a specified period of incarceration followed by probation supervision.

Fine

Incarceration resulting from non-payment of a fine for one or more offenses.

OFFENSE

Mansiaughter

Includes vehicular homicide and manslaughter.

Armed Assault

Includes armed assault with intent to rob, armed assault in dwelling house, assault and battery with dangerous weapon, and assault with dangerous weapon.

Unarmed Assault

Includes unarmed assault with intent to rob, assault, assault and battery, and other assaults.

Assault-Intent to Murder

Includes assault with intent to murder and attempt to murder.

Other Person

Includes mayhem and confining a person for the purpose of stealing.

Rape of Minor

Includes rape of female under sixteen, rape

Assault with Intent to Rape

Prostitution

of child, and statutory rape.

Includes assault with intent to rape and indecent assault and battery.

Includes prostitution and common night-walker.